

MISO/PJM Cross Border Cost Allocation

October 21, 2008 Carmel, IN





1

- 1. Effect of Hurdle Rate on Benefit Measures
- 2. Guest Speaker APC Vs. LMP as a Metric for Transmission Value (tentative)
- 3. Survey Results
- Example Using a Method Based on Survey Preferences
 - Method Description
 - Examples
- 5. FTR Value Estimate Methods PJM/MISO
- 6. Schedule / Next Steps





Summary of tests and Conclusions:

- Looked at 9 examples using both a \$0 hurdle between PJM and MISO, and a \$2.50 hurdle
- Results indicate effect of hurdle on overall project benefit and benefit to each RTO
- See comparison charts





MISO Adjusted Production Cost Comparison with Different Hurdle Rates



PJM Adjusted Production Cost Comparison with Different Hurdle Rates



System Adjusted Production Cost Comparison with Different Hurdle Rates



MISO 70%APC+30%NLP Benefit Comparison with Different Hurdle Rates



PJM 70%APC+30%NLP Benefit Comparison with Different Hurdle Rates



System 70%APC+30%NLP Benefit Comparison with Different Hurdle Rates



Effect of Hurdle Rate on Benefit Measures

Details



Black Oak - Bedington

0\$/MWH Hurdle

		MISO	PJM	Total System	
	Generation MW	157,728	-157,737	-9	
	Gross Generation Revenue (GGR)	\$40,312,798	-\$62,651,051	-\$22,338,253	
	Gen Production Cost	\$10,060,070	-\$25,088,910	-\$15,028,840	
Ē	Net Gen Revenue (NGR)	\$30,252,728	-\$37,562,141	-\$7,309,413	
	Load MW	0	0	0	
	Gross Load Payment (GLP)	\$35,682,057	-\$147,555,128	-\$111,873,070	
	FTR Credits	\$3,038,997	-\$94,989,142	-\$91,950,145	
Ē	Net Load Payment (NLP)	\$32,643,061	-\$52,565,986	-\$19,922,925	
	Net Cost (NLP - NGR)	\$2,390,333	-\$15,003,845	-\$12,613,512	

Blended Metrics

Adjusted Production Cost	\$2,390,333	-\$15,003,845	-\$12,613,512
70%(Gen Prod Cost) + 30%(NLP)	\$16,834,967	-\$33,332,033	-\$16,497,065 PJM Method
70%(Adjusted Prod Cost) + 30%(GLP)	\$12,377,850	-\$54,769,230	-\$42,391,379 MISO Method
70%(Adjusted Prod Cost) + 30%(NLP)	\$11,466,152	-\$26,272,487	-\$14,806,336 MISO Method (w/ NLP)

Delta Total System Congestion -89,534,817

]	MISO	PJM	Total System	
Generation MW	79,850	-79,930	-80	
Gross Generation Revenue (GGR)	\$43,845,139	-\$68,512,415	-\$24,667,276	
Gen Production Cost	\$5,635,465	-\$19,533,053	-\$13,897,587	2.5\$/MWH Hurd
Net Gen Revenue (NGR)	\$38,209,674	-\$48,979,363	-\$10,769,689	
Load MW	0	0	0	
Gross Load Payment (GLP)	\$41,001,868	-\$165,412,192	-\$124,410,324	
FTR Credits	\$1,800,091	-\$103,202,507	-\$101,402,416	
Net Load Payment (NLP)	\$39,201,777	-\$62,209,686	-\$23,007,908	
Net Cost (NLP - NGR)	\$992,104	-\$13,230,323	-\$12,238,219	

Blended Metrics

Adjusted Production Cost	\$992,104	-\$13,230,323	-\$12,238,219
70%(Gen Prod Cost) + 30%(NLP)	\$15,705,359	-\$32,336,042	-\$16,630,683 PJM Method
70%(Adjusted Prod Cost) + 30%(GLP)	\$12,995,033	-\$58,884,884	-\$45,889,851 MISO Method
70%(Adjusted Prod Cost) + 30%(NLP)	\$12,455,006	-\$27,924,132	-\$15,469,126 MISO Method (w/ NLP)

Delta Total System Congestion

-99,743,048

_ _

Palisades-Roosevelt

	M M	нн	urd	
υψι			uiu	

	MISO	PJM	Total System
Generation MW	-148,232	148,282	49
Gross Generation Revenue (GGR)	-\$6,797,368	\$19,452,856	\$12,655,488
Gen Production Cost	-\$5,904,435	\$3,669,824	-\$2,234,610
Net Gen Revenue (NGR)	-\$892,933	\$15,783,032	\$14,890,098
Load MW	0	0	C
Gross Load Payment (GLP)	-\$8,928,930	\$17,192,600	\$8,263,670
FTR Credits	-\$4,853,541	\$2,631,945	-\$2,221,596
Net Load Payment (NLP)	-\$4,075,389	\$14,560,655	\$10,485,266
Net Cost (NLP - NGR)	-\$3,182,455	-\$1,222,377	-\$4,404,832
	Generation MW Gross Generation Revenue (GGR) Gen Production Cost Net Gen Revenue (NGR) Load MW Gross Load Payment (GLP) FTR Credits Net Load Payment (NLP) Net Cost (NLP - NGR)	MISO Generation MW -148,232 Gross Generation Revenue (GGR) -\$6,797,368 Gen Production Cost -\$5,904,435 Net Gen Revenue (NGR) -\$892,933 Load MW 0 Gross Load Payment (GLP) -\$8,928,930 FTR Credits -\$4,853,541 Net Load Payment (NLP) -\$4,075,389 Net Cost (NLP - NGR) -\$3,182,455	MISO PJM Generation MW -148,232 148,282 Gross Generation Revenue (GGR) -\$6,797,368 \$19,452,856 Gen Production Cost -\$5,904,435 \$3,669,824 Net Gen Revenue (NGR) -\$892,933 \$15,783,032 Load MW 0 0 Gross Load Payment (GLP) -\$8,928,930 \$17,192,600 FTR Credits -\$4,853,541 \$2,631,945 Net Load Payment (NLP) -\$4,075,389 \$14,560,655 Net Cost (NLP - NGR) -\$3,182,455 -\$1,222,377

Blended Metrics

Adjusted Production Cost	-\$3,182,455	-\$1,222,377	-\$4,404,832	
70%(Gen Prod Cost) + 30%(NLP)	-\$5,355,721	\$6,937,074	\$1,581,353	PJM Method
70%(Adjusted Prod Cost) + 30%(GLP)	-\$4,906,398	\$4,302,116	-\$604,281	MISO Method
70%(Adjusted Prod Cost) + 30%(NLP)	-\$3,450,335	\$3,512,533	\$62,197	MISO Method (w/ NLP)

Delta Total System Congestion

-4,391,8	818
----------	-----

	MISO	PJM	Total System
Generation MW	-120,597	120,580	-17
Gross Generation Revenue (GGR)	-\$9,031,735	\$6,766,227	-\$2,265,508
Gen Production Cost	-\$5,033,619	\$3,523,526	-\$1,510,094
Net Gen Revenue (NGR)	-\$3,998,116	\$3,242,702	-\$755,414
Load MW	0	0	0
Gross Load Payment (GLP)	-\$11,294,683	\$3,379,372	-\$7,915,311
FTR Credits	-\$5,101,414	\$226,835	-\$4,874,579
Net Load Payment (NLP)	-\$6,193,269	\$3,152,537	-\$3,040,732
Net Cost (NLP - NGR)	-\$2,195,153	-\$90,164	-\$2,285,318

2.5\$/MWH Hurdle

Blended Metrics

Adjusted Production Cost	-\$2,195,153	-\$90,164	-\$2,285,318		
70%(Gen Prod Cost) + 30%(NLP)	-\$5,381,514	\$3,412,229	-\$1,969,285	PJM Method	
70%(Adjusted Prod Cost) + 30%(GLP)	-\$4,925,012	\$950,697	-\$3,974,316	MISO Method	
70%(Adjusted Prod Cost) + 30%(NLP)	-\$3,394,588	\$882,646	-\$2,511,942	MISO Method (w/ NLP)	

Saukville-Pleasant Valley

0\$/MWH Hurdle

	MISO	PJM	Total System
Generation MW	23,449	-23,207	243
Gross Generation Revenue (GGR)	\$13,286,628	\$20,811,440	\$34,098,068
Gen Production Cost	-\$10,774,509	-\$1,050,821	-\$11,825,330
Net Gen Revenue (NGR)	\$24,061,137	\$21,862,261	\$45,923,398
Load MW	0	0	0
Gross Load Payment (GLP)	-\$22,460,643	\$26,525,040	\$4,064,397
FTR Credits	-\$34,695,255	\$5,863,599	-\$28,831,656
Net Load Payment (NLP)	\$12,234,612	\$20,661,441	\$32,896,053
Net Cost (NLP - NGR)	-\$11,826,525	-\$1,200,819	-\$13,027,344
	Generation MW Gross Generation Revenue (GGR) Gen Production Cost Net Gen Revenue (NGR) Load MW Gross Load Payment (GLP) FTR Credits Net Load Payment (NLP) Net Cost (NLP - NGR)	MISO Generation MW 23,449 Gross Generation Revenue (GGR) \$13,286,628 Gen Production Cost -\$10,774,509 Net Gen Revenue (NGR) \$24,061,137 Load MW 0 Gross Load Payment (GLP) -\$22,460,643 FTR Credits -\$34,695,255 Net Load Payment (NLP) \$12,234,612 Net Cost (NLP - NGR) -\$11,826,525	MISO PJM Generation MW 23,449 -23,207 Gross Generation Revenue (GGR) \$13,286,628 \$20,811,440 Gen Production Cost -\$10,774,509 -\$1,050,821 Net Gen Revenue (NGR) \$24,061,137 \$21,862,261 Load MW 0 0 Gross Load Payment (GLP) -\$22,460,643 \$26,525,040 FTR Credits -\$34,695,255 \$5,863,599 Net Load Payment (NLP) \$12,234,612 \$20,661,441 Net Cost (NLP - NGR) -\$11,826,525 -\$1,200,819

Blended Metrics

Adjusted Production Cost	-\$11,826,525	-\$1,200,819	-\$13,027,344	
70%(Gen Prod Cost) + 30%(NLP)	-\$3,871,773	\$5,462,858	\$1,591,085	PJM Method
70%(Adjusted Prod Cost) + 30%(GLP)	-\$15,016,760	\$7,116,939	-\$7,899,822	MISO Method
70%(Adjusted Prod Cost) + 30%(NLP)	-\$4,608,184	\$5,357,859	\$749,675	MISO Method (w/ NLP)

Delta Total System Congestion -30,033,671

	MISO	PJM	Total System
Generation MW	-12,153	11,976	-177
Gross Generation Revenue (GGR)	\$12,126,438	\$33,979,496	\$46,105,934
Gen Production Cost	-\$13,248,632	\$597,543	-\$12,651,089
 Net Gen Revenue (NGR)	\$25,375,070	\$33,381,954	\$58,757,023
Load MW	0	0	0
Gross Load Payment (GLP)	-\$21,861,763	\$37,642,569	\$15,780,806
FTR Credits	-\$34,557,789	\$4,567,541	-\$29,990,248
 Net Load Payment (NLP)	\$12,696,026	\$33,075,028	\$45,771,054
Net Cost (NLP - NGR)	-\$12,679,044	-\$306,926	-\$12,985,970

Blended Metrics

Adjusted Production Cost	-\$12,679,044	-\$306,926	-\$12,985,970	
70%(Gen Prod Cost) + 30%(NLP)	-\$5,465,234	\$10,340,788	\$4,875,554	PJM Method
70%(Adjusted Prod Cost) + 30%(GLP)	-\$15,433,860	\$11,077,923	-\$4,355,937	MISO Method
70%(Adjusted Prod Cost) + 30%(NLP)	-\$5,066,523	\$9,707,660	\$4,641,137	MISO Method (w/ NLP)

Delta Total System Congestion -30,325,128

Bedford-Seymour

0\$/MWH Hurdle	

	MISO	PJM	Total System
Generation MW	51,512	-51,368	144
Gross Generation Revenue (GGR)	\$21,604,348	-\$40,634,342	-\$19,029,994
Gen Production Cost	\$3,548,581	-\$5,359,645	-\$1,811,064
Net Gen Revenue (NGR)	\$18,055,767	-\$35,274,696	-\$17,218,930
Load MW	0	0	0
Gross Load Payment (GLP)	-\$20,538,262	-\$34,254,748	-\$54,793,010
FTR Credits	-\$36,627,216	-\$340,542	-\$36,967,758
Net Load Payment (NLP)	\$16,088,954	-\$33,914,205	-\$17,825,251
Net Cost (NLP - NGR)	-\$1,966,813	\$1,360,491	-\$606,322

Blended Metrics

Adjusted Production Cost	-\$1,966,813	\$1,360,491	-\$606,322	
70%(Gen Prod Cost) + 30%(NLP)	\$7,310,693	-\$13,926,013	-\$6,615,320	PJM Method
70%(Adjusted Prod Cost) + 30%(GLP)	-\$7,538,247	-\$9,324,081	-\$16,862,328	MISO Method
70%(Adjusted Prod Cost) + 30%(NLP)	\$3,449,917	-\$9,221,918	-\$5,772,001	MISO Method (w/ NLP)

Delta Total System Congestion -35,763,016

Γ	MISO	PJM	Total System
Generation MW	54,306	-54,310	-4
Gross Generation Revenue (GGR)	\$20,950,902	-\$40,598,679	-\$19,647,777
Gen Production Cost	\$3,083,289	-\$4,902,514	-\$1,819,226
Net Gen Revenue (NGR)	\$17,867,613	-\$35,696,165	-\$17,828,552
Load MW	0	0	0
Gross Load Payment (GLP)	-\$25,676,316	-\$31,776,938	-\$57,453,255
FTR Credits	-\$41,683,452	\$2,917,422	-\$38,766,030
Net Load Payment (NLP)	\$16,007,136	-\$34,694,360	-\$18,687,224
Net Cost (NLP - NGR)	-\$1,860,478	\$1,001,805	-\$858,673

2.5\$/MWH Hurdle

Blended Metrics

	Adjusted Production Cost	-\$1,860,478	\$1,001,805	-\$858,673	
	70%(Gen Prod Cost) + 30%(NLP)	\$6,960,443	-\$13,840,068	-\$6,879,625	PJM Method
	70%(Adjusted Prod Cost) + 30%(GLP)	-\$9,005,229	-\$8,831,818	-\$17,837,047	MISO Method
ſ	70%(Adjusted Prod Cost) + 30%(NLP)	\$3,499,806	-\$9,707,044	-\$6,207,238	MISO Method (w/ NLP)

Delta Total System Congestion -37,805,477

Paddock Transformer

0\$/	/MWH	Hurd	le

	MISO	PJM	Total System
Generation MW	-685,322	685,219	-103
Gross Generation Revenue (GGR)	-\$54,971,344	\$205,385,341	\$150,413,997
Gen Production Cost	-\$58,322,824	\$31,783,332	-\$26,539,492
Net Gen Revenue (NGR)	\$3,351,480	\$173,602,009	\$176,953,489
Load MW	0	0	0
Gross Load Payment (GLP)	-\$69,381,481	\$137,332,017	\$67,950,536
FTR Credits	-\$38,121,223	-\$31,605,877	-\$69,727,100
Net Load Payment (NLP)	-\$31,260,258	\$168,937,894	\$137,677,636
Net Cost (NLP - NGR)	-\$34,611,738	-\$4,664,115	-\$39,275,853
	Generation MW Gross Generation Revenue (GGR) Gen Production Cost Net Gen Revenue (NGR) Load MW Gross Load Payment (GLP) FTR Credits Net Load Payment (NLP) Net Cost (NLP - NGR)	MISO Generation MW -685,322 Gross Generation Revenue (GGR) -\$54,971,344 Gen Production Cost -\$58,322,824 Net Gen Revenue (NGR) \$3,351,480 Load MW 0 Gross Load Payment (GLP) -\$69,381,481 FTR Credits -\$38,121,223 Net Load Payment (NLP) -\$31,260,258 Net Cost (NLP - NGR) -\$34,611,738	MISO PJM Generation MW -685,322 685,219 Gross Generation Revenue (GGR) -\$54,971,344 \$205,385,341 Gen Production Cost -\$58,322,824 \$31,783,332 Net Gen Revenue (NGR) \$3,351,480 \$173,602,009 Load MW 0 0 Gross Load Payment (GLP) -\$69,381,481 \$137,332,017 FTR Credits -\$38,121,223 -\$31,605,877 Net Load Payment (NLP) -\$31,260,258 \$168,937,894 Net Cost (NLP - NGR) -\$34,611,738 -\$4,664,115

Blended Metrics

Adjusted Production Cost	-\$34,611,738	-\$4,664,115	-\$39,275,853	
70%(Gen Prod Cost) + 30%(NLP)	-\$50,204,054	\$72,929,700	\$22,725,647	PJM Method
70%(Adjusted Prod Cost) + 30%(GLP)	-\$45,042,661	\$37,934,725	-\$7,107,936	MISO Method
70%(Adjusted Prod Cost) + 30%(NLP)	-\$33,606,294	\$47,416,488	\$13,810,194	MISO Method (w/ NLP)

Delta Total System Congestion

-82,4	63,461
-------	--------

 ,, .

Г	MISO	PJM	Total System
Generation MW	-548,564	548,128	-436
Gross Generation Revenue (GGR)	-\$36,651,707	\$174,221,519	\$137,569,812
Gen Production Cost	-\$49,073,699	\$22,930,196	-\$26,143,503
Net Gen Revenue (NGR)	\$12,421,992	\$151,291,323	\$163,713,314
Load MW	0	0	0
Gross Load Payment (GLP)	-\$51,355,984	\$118,202,671	\$66,846,687
FTR Credits	-\$34,378,216	-\$28,567,983	-\$62,946,199
Net Load Payment (NLP)	-\$16,977,768	\$146,770,654	\$129,792,886
Net Cost (NLP - NGR)	-\$29,399,760	-\$4,520,669	-\$33,920,428

2.5\$/MWH Hurdle

Blended Metrics

Adjusted Production Cost	-\$29,399,760	-\$4,520,669	-\$33,920,428	
70%(Gen Prod Cost) + 30%(NLP)	-\$39,444,920	\$60,082,333	\$20,637,414	PJM Method
70%(Adjusted Prod Cost) + 30%(GLP)	-\$35,986,627	\$32,296,333	-\$3,690,294	MISO Method
70%(Adjusted Prod Cost) + 30%(NLP)	-\$25,673,162	\$40,866,728	\$15,193,566	MISO Method (w/ NLP)

Delta Total System Congestion -70,723,124

Pana-Mt.Zion-Kansas

N C	/�/\\/	Uurdlo
UD		ппппп

		MISO	PJM	Total System
	Generation MW	\$85,319	-\$85,048	\$271
	Gross Generation Revenue (GGR)	\$21,064,972	-\$1,097,106	\$19,967,866
	Gen Production Cost	\$285,792	-\$4,319,389	-\$4,033,597
_	Net Gen Revenue (NGR)	\$20,779,180	\$3,222,283	\$24,001,463
Γ	Load MW	0	0	0
	Gross Load Payment (GLP)	\$5,772,255	\$2,157,682	\$7,929,937
	FTR Credits	-\$11,925,739	-\$865,554	-\$12,791,293
	Net Load Payment (NLP)	\$17,697,994	\$3,023,236	\$20,721,230
[Net Cost (NLP - NGR)	-\$3,081,186	-\$199,047	-\$3,280,233

Blended Metrics

Adjusted Production Cost	-\$3,081,186	-\$199,047	-\$3,280,233	
70%(Gen Prod Cost) + 30%(NLP)	\$5,509,453	-\$2,116,602	\$3,392,851	PJM Method
70%(Adjusted Prod Cost) + 30%(GLP)	-\$425,154	\$507,972	\$82,818	MISO Method
70%(Adjusted Prod Cost) + 30%(NLP)	\$3,152,568	\$767,638	\$3,920,206	MISO Method (w/ NLP)

Delta Total System Congestion

9

-12,	037,	929
------	------	-----

-12,	037	,929
------	-----	------

	MISO	PJM	Total System
Generation MW	52,312	-52,534	-222
Gross Generation Revenue (GGR)	\$7,489,847	-\$13,539,340	-\$6,049,493
Gen Production Cost	-\$1,711,250	-\$2,593,719	-\$4,304,969
Net Gen Revenue (NGR)	\$9,201,098	-\$10,945,621	-\$1,744,524
Load MW	0	0	0
Gross Load Payment (GLP)	-\$5,660,916	-\$13,627,289	-\$19,288,205
FTR Credits	-\$10,452,922	-\$3,182,764	-\$13,635,686
Net Load Payment (NLP)	\$4,792,007	-\$10,444,525	-\$5,652,519
Net Cost (NLP - NGR)	-\$4,409,091	\$501,096	-\$3,907,995

\$/MWH Hurdle

Blended Metrics

	Adjusted Production Cost	-\$4,409,091	\$501,096	-\$3,907,995	
	70%(Gen Prod Cost) + 30%(NLP)	\$239,727	-\$4,948,961	-\$4,709,234	PJM Method
	70%(Adjusted Prod Cost) + 30%(GLP)	-\$4,784,638	-\$3,737,420	-\$8,522,058	MISO Method
ſ	70%(Adjusted Prod Cost) + 30%(NLP)	-\$1,648,762	-\$2,782,590	-\$4,431,352	MISO Method (w/ NLP)

Delta Total System Congestion -13,238,712

Bunsonville-Eugene

0\$/MWH Hurdle
оэлими питате

	MISO	PJM	Total System
Generation MW	\$1,652,883	-\$1,651,316	\$1,568
Gross Generation Revenue (GGR)	\$41,060,884	-\$325,592,253	-\$284,531,369
Gen Production Cost	\$15,133,381	-\$84,572,848	-\$69,439,467
Net Gen Revenue (NGR)	\$25,927,503	-\$241,019,405	-\$215,091,902
Load MW	0	0	0
Gross Load Payment (GLP)	\$45,485,627	-\$227,037,072	-\$181,551,444
FTR Credits	\$60,524,245	\$20,003,677	\$80,527,922
Net Load Payment (NLP)	-\$15,038,617	-\$247,040,749	-\$262,079,366
Net Cost (NLP - NGR)	-\$40,966,120	-\$6,021,344	-\$46,987,464

Blended Metrics

Adjusted Production Cost	-\$40,966,120	-\$6,021,344	-\$46,987,464	
70%(Gen Prod Cost) + 30%(NLP)	\$6,081,781	-\$133,313,218	-\$127,231,437	PJM Method
70%(Adjusted Prod Cost) + 30%(GLP)	-\$15,030,596	-\$72,326,062	-\$87,356,658	MISO Method
70%(Adjusted Prod Cost) + 30%(NLP)	-\$33,187,869	-\$78,327,165	-\$111,515,034	MISO Method (w/ NLP)

102,979,925

Delta Total System Congestion

	MISO	PJM	I otal System
Generation MW	1,648,003	-1,646,455	1,548
Gross Generation Revenue (GGR)	\$17,285,292	-\$285,921,206	-\$268,635,915
Gen Production Cost	\$9,561,130	-\$79,686,107	-\$70,124,978
Net Gen Revenue (NGR)	\$7,724,162	-\$206,235,099	-\$198,510,937
Load MW	0	0	0
Gross Load Payment (GLP)	\$26,575,795	-\$190,983,501	-\$164,407,706
FTR Credits	\$65,649,334	\$22,250,390	\$87,899,723
Net Load Payment (NLP)	-\$39,073,538	-\$213,233,891	-\$252,307,430
Net Cost (NLP - NGR)	-\$46,797,700	-\$6,998,792	-\$53,796,492

Blended Metrics

Adjusted Production Cost	-\$46,797,700	-\$6,998,792	-\$53,796,492	
70%(Gen Prod Cost) + 30%(NLP)	-\$5,029,271	-\$119,750,442	-\$124,779,713	PJM Method
70%(Adjusted Prod Cost) + 30%(GLP)	-\$24,785,652	-\$62,194,205	-\$86,979,857	MISO Method
70%(Adjusted Prod Cost) + 30%(NLP)	-\$44,480,452	-\$68,869,322	-\$113,349,774	MISO Method (w/ NLP)

Cayuge-Eugena

-10,356,485

0\$/MWH Hurdle

	MISO	PJM	Total System
Generation MW	-\$100,871	\$100,882	\$11
Gross Generation Revenue (GGR)	\$9,390,526	\$22,675,708	\$32,066,235
Gen Production Cost	-\$10,616,346	\$4,366,812	-\$6,249,534
Net Gen Revenue (NGR)	\$20,006,873	\$18,308,896	\$38,315,769
Load MW	0	0	0
Gross Load Payment (GLP)	\$10,058,587	\$11,651,163	\$21,709,750
FTR Credits	-\$3,047,743	-\$6,555,483	-\$9,603,226
Net Load Payment (NLP)	\$13,106,330	\$18,206,646	\$31,312,976
Net Cost (NLP - NGR)	-\$6,900,543	-\$102,250	-\$7,002,793

Blended Metrics

Adjusted Production Cost	-\$6,900,543	-\$102,250	-\$7,002,793	
70%(Gen Prod Cost) + 30%(NLP)	-\$3,499,543	\$8,518,762	\$5,019,219	PJM Method
70%(Adjusted Prod Cost) + 30%(GLP)	-\$1,812,804	\$3,423,774	\$1,610,970	MISO Method
70%(Adjusted Prod Cost) + 30%(NLP)	-\$898,481	\$5,390,419	\$4,491,938	MISO Method (w/ NLP)

Delta Total System Congestion

Г	MISO	DIM	Total System
	141130	FJIVI	Total System
Generation MW	-43,864	43,921	57
Gross Generation Revenue (GGR)	\$17,017,775	\$28,315,810	\$45,333,585
Gen Production Cost	-\$7,742,540	\$913,225	-\$6,829,316
Net Gen Revenue (NGR)	\$24,760,316	\$27,402,585	\$52,162,901
Load MW	0	0	0
Gross Load Payment (GLP)	\$15,904,174	\$20,414,286	\$36,318,460
FTR Credits	-\$2,464,368	-\$6,216,474	-\$8,680,842
Net Load Payment (NLP)	\$18,368,542	\$26,630,759	\$44,999,302
Net Cost (NLP - NGR)	-\$6,391,773	-\$771,826	-\$7,163,599

Hurdle

Blended Metrics

Adjusted Production Cost	-\$6,391,773	-\$771,826	-\$7,163,599	
70%(Gen Prod Cost) + 30%(NLP)	\$90,784	\$8,628,485	\$8,719,270	PJM Method
70%(Adjusted Prod Cost) + 30%(GLP)	\$297,011	\$5,584,008	\$5,881,019	MISO Method
70%(Adjusted Prod Cost) + 30%(NLP)	\$1,036,322	\$7,448,950	\$8,485,271	MISO Method (w/ NLP)

Delta Total System Congestion -9,015,125

WEMPLETOWN-PADDOCK

0\$/MWH Hurdle

MISO	PJM	Total System
-\$127,931	\$127,647	-\$284
\$19,416,412	\$50,556,357	\$69,972,769
t -\$15,300,072	\$7,066,495	-\$8,233,578
\$34,716,484	\$43,489,862	\$78,206,346
0	0	C
\$19,353,458	\$31,423,694	\$50,777,151
-\$6,090,388	-\$11,702,490	-\$17,792,878
\$25,443,846	\$43,126,184	\$68,570,030
-\$9,272,638	-\$363,678	-\$9,636,317
	MISO -\$127,931 \$19,416,412 -\$15,300,072 \$34,716,484 0 \$19,353,458 -\$6,090,388 \$25,443,846 0 -\$9,272,638	MISOPJM-\$127,931\$127,647\$19,416,412\$50,556,357t -\$15,300,072\$7,066,495\$34,716,484\$43,489,86200\$19,353,458\$31,423,694\$-\$6,090,388-\$11,702,490\$25,443,846\$43,126,184\$-\$9,272,638-\$363,678

Blended Metrics

Adjusted Production Cost	-\$9,272,638	-\$363,678	-\$9,636,317	
70%(Gen Prod Cost) + 30%(NLP)	-\$3,076,897	\$17,884,402	\$14,807,504	PJM Method
70%(Adjusted Prod Cost) + 30%(GLP)	-\$684,810	\$9,172,533	\$8,487,724	MISO Method
70%(Adjusted Prod Cost) + 30%(NLP)	\$1,142,307	\$12,683,280	\$13,825,587	MISO Method (w/ NLP)

Delta Total System Congestion -19,195,617

	MISO	DIM	Total System
	MISO	FJIVI	Total System
Generation MW	-99,650	99,497	-153
Gross Generation Revenue (GGR)	\$10,174,334	\$33,665,743	\$43,840,077
Gen Production Cost	-\$13,020,953	\$5,293,139	-\$7,727,813
Net Gen Revenue (NGR)	\$23,195,287	\$28,372,604	\$51,567,891
Load MW	0	0	0
Gross Load Payment (GLP)	\$7,368,333	\$18,200,788	\$25,569,121
FTR Credits	-\$7,308,265	-\$9,972,263	-\$17,280,528
Net Load Payment (NLP)	\$14,676,598	\$28,173,051	\$42,849,649
Net Cost (NLP - NGR)	-\$8,518,689	-\$199,553	-\$8,718,242

Blended Metrics

Adjusted Production Cost	-\$8,518,689	-\$199,553	-\$8,718,242	
70%(Gen Prod Cost) + 30%(NLP)	-\$4,711,687	\$12,157,113	\$7,445,425	PJM Method
70%(Adjusted Prod Cost) + 30%(GLP)	-\$3,752,583	\$5,320,549	\$1,567,967	MISO Method
70%(Adjusted Prod Cost) + 30%(NLP)	-\$1,560,103	\$8,312,228	\$6,752,125	MISO Method (w/ NLP)

Delta Total System Congestion -18,270,957

APC Vs. LMP







20

Survey Results



(see separate Word Doc)





Method Based on Survey Preferences

Preferences:

- Project Voltage Threshold: 345 kV
- Project Direct Cost Estimate Threshold: \$20 M
- Benefit metric: 70% APC + 30% NLP
 - 70% APC + 30% GLP (1015)
 - 70% (APC or PC) + 30% NLP (1248)
- NLP: FTR credit as RTO G-to-L congestion and as full credit to Load Payment
- B/C ratio: 1.25 (assuming NLP or APC Benefit Metric)
- Allocation Metric: Same as Benefit Metric, No Postage
 Stamp component
- Other Thresholds: Project B/C must pass each RTO internal metric for Economic Project





Leaving on the table for now because:

- RTOs (and economists) believe this may be a more appropriate measure of market efficiency benefit to loads
- Internal metrics (both are "blends") are still the backstop for qualification as a cross border project
- Provides a stand-alone cross border metric, unaffected by possible future changes in internal methods





Proposal 1: Use 70%APC + 30%NLP



Proposal 2: Use Adjusted Production Cost



Examples Based on Survey Preferences







26

Example 1: Paddock Transformer

	MISO	PJM	Total System
Generation MW	-685,322	685,219	-103
Gross Generation Revenue (GGR)	-\$54,971,344	\$205,385,341	\$150,413,997
Gen Production Cost	-\$58,322,824	\$31,783,332	-\$26,539,492
Net Gen Revenue (NGR)	\$3,351,480	\$173,602,009	\$176,953,489
Load MW	0	0	0
Gross Load Payment (GLP)	-\$69,381,481	\$137,332,017	\$67,950,536
FTR Credits	-\$38,121,223	-\$31,605,877	-\$69,727,100
Net Load Payment (NLP)	-\$31,260,258	\$168,937,894	\$137,677,636
Net Cost (NLP - NGR)	-\$34,611,738	-\$4,664,115	-\$39,275,853

Blended Metrics

Adjusted Production Cost	-\$34,611,738	-\$4,664,115	-\$39,275,853	
70%(Gen Prod Cost) + 30%(NLP)	-\$50,204,054	\$72,929,700	\$22,725,647	PJM Method
70%(Adjusted Prod Cost) + 30%(GLP)	-\$45,042,661	\$37,934,725	-\$7,107,936	MISO Method
70%(Adjusted Prod Cost) + 30%(NLP)	-\$33,606,294	\$47,416,488	\$13,810,194	MISO Method (w/ NLP)

Delta Total System Congestion -82

-82,463,461

0\$/MWH Hurdle





Proposal 1: Use 70%APC + 30%NLP

[Paddock Transformer]







Proposal 2: Use Adjusted Production Cost [Paddock Transformer]



Example 2: Bunsonville-Eugene



Blended Metrics

Adjusted Production Cost	-\$40,966,120	-\$6,021,344	-\$46,987,464	
70%(Gen Prod Cost) + 30%(NLP)	\$6,081,781	-\$133,313,218	-\$127,231,437	PJM Method
70%(Adjusted Prod Cost) + 30%(GLP)	-\$15,030,596	-\$72,326,062	-\$87,356,658	MISO Method
70%(Adjusted Prod Cost) + 30%(NLP)	-\$33,187,869	-\$78,327,165	-\$111,515,034	MISO Method (w/ NLP)

Delta Total System Congestion 102,97

102,979,925





Proposal 1: Use 70%APC + 30%NLP



Proposal 2: Use Adjusted Production Cost [Bunsonville-Eugene] Benefit = \$47 M Assume: Project Cost = 100M\$, FCR = 20%, Use APC so annual cost = 20M\$ savings to B/C = 2.35 > 1.25 ? B/C Threshold = 1.25 allocate the cost 5 years in service Yes MISO Share = \$20*(\$41.0 M/\$47.0 M) = \$17.4 M; PJM Share = \$2.6 M **MISO Internal Check PJM Internal Check** Benefit = 70%APC + 30%GLP = \$15.0 M Benefit = 70%PC + 30%NLP = \$133.3 M No Not Justified As **Market Efficiency** B/C = 0.86 > 2? B/C = 52 > 1.25? Project Yes

No cost sharing as MISO does not pass internal check. But it still can be PJM internal economic project.





Stakeholder Discussion of Preferred Methodology?







FTR/ARR Value Estimate Methods – PJM/MISO

- Stakeholders voted 2.7:1 for using the RTO proposed method to implicitly calculate ARR credits rather than another method such as the internal PJM method using actual current day FTR/ARRs.
- Method of using actual ARRs is more difficult and not necessarily more accurate than proposal based on calculating implicit congestion
- However, no substantive differences appear to exist in each RTOs ARR allocation methods that would preclude applying the PJM ARR valuing method to MISO ARRs





Schedule / Next Steps

7/15/08 FERC Milestones

Task Description	<u>Date</u>
Develop an appropriate threshold test methodology	8/31/08
Review the results of the threshold test analysis	9/08
Achieve consensus support for specific cost sharing criteria	12/1/08
Circulate draft a Transmittal letter, appropriate JOA Tariff language and supporting documentation	1/15/09
Make FERC filing, including: (1) the issues that have generally been agreed to by the stakeholders; (2) the issues that remain outstanding; and (3) the likelihood of being able to reach consensus agreement on	
the disputed issues	1/29/09





NEXT STEPS

- November meeting
 - finalize on a construct
 - discuss some other process issues, such as
 - how to handle project built in one that benefits only the other versus same benefiting both - are both of these Xborder under the tariff or is the former just a negotiated build?
 - Number of and which years to study for benefits
 - Discount rate
 - Fixed charge rate
 - Others?
- December discuss tariff (JOA) language
- Early January meeting or call if needed to finalize tariff
- File end of January



