



Stakeholder Survey II Cross Border Cost Allocation for Economic Transmission Projects For Discussion December 17, 2008

In this second survey, PJM and the Midwest ISO are seeking input from stakeholders on two issues. The first issue is the preferred Option from amongst the four discussed at the November 20, 2008 meeting. The second issue is whether or not a Cross Border Market Efficiency Project should include projects to be built in one RTO and that only shows benefits to the other RTO.

Thank you in advance for participating in this important survey.

Respondent Information:

Stakeholder Company and RTO Sector

Survey Completed by





Stakeholder Survey II Cross Border Cost Allocation for Economic Transmission Projects For Discussion December 17, 2008

For Issue 1, please indicate your company's preference for each Option by dividing 100 Points between each alternative. The most preferred alternative should be given a higher value of points. Please allocate all 100 points between the alternatives.

Issue 1: Preferred Option for Benefit Metric and Allocation Metric

At the November 20, 2008 meeting, four options for evaluating the economic benefits and allocating the costs of a Cross Border Market Efficiency Project were discussed. In the following, Net Load Payment is LMP times Load less an adjustment for FTR credits held by Load.

Among the	e following Options, I prefer:	
	Option 1: Benefit Metric = 70% Adjusted Production Cost + 30% Net Load Payment Allocation Metric = 70% Adjusted Production Cost + 30% Net Load Payment	
	Option 2: Benefit Metric = 100% Adjusted Production Cost Allocation Metric = 100% Adjusted Production Cost	
	Option 2a: Benefit Metric = 100% Adjusted Production Cost Allocation Metric = 70% Adjusted Production Cost + 30% Net Load Payment	
	Option 2b: Benefit Metric = 100% Adjusted Production Cost Allocation Metric = 100% Net Load Payment	
100	Total Points	
Please state your reasons for your selections below:		





Stakeholder Survey II Cross Border Cost Allocation for Economic Transmission Projects For Discussion December 17, 2008

Issue 2: Projects to be built in one RTO with benefits to only the other RTO

The RTOs have initially proposed that a project would need to show benefits to both RTOs (on the agreed upon metric) in order to be qualified as a Cross Border Market Efficiency Project (CBMEP). This Issue seeks Stakeholder input on that proposal.

Once qualified as a CBMEP, the Transmission Owner(s) in the constructing RTO will have the same obligation to construct the project as for any other approved MTEP or RTEP project, and the costs would be allocated and recovered according to the CBMEP allocation method.

Please ind below:	licate your position on this policy below, by placing 100 in only one of the boxes
	A Project constructed in one RTO and with benefits only to the other RTO, would be qualified as a CBMEP and would therefore carry the CBMEP obligation to build.
	A Project constructed in one RTO and with benefits <u>only</u> to the other RTO, would <u>not</u> be qualified as a CBMEP, and would therefore not carry the CBMEP obligation to build but could be pursued on a negotiated basis between parties in the benefitting RTO and the constructing RTO.
100	Total Points
Please sta	te your reasons for your selection below: