# Impact of MISO DPP-2014-August

## **Generators on PJM Facilities**

#### 1. MISO generators studied:

#### Table 1 – MISO DPP-2014-AUG

Project Number	POI	Area	Max Output	Fuel Type
J285	Proposed O'Brien County 345kV substation	635 MEC	250	Wind
J289	161 kV substation on Winterset Junction to Creston 161 kV Line	627 ALTW	20	Wind
J316	MDU 230 kV Tatanka-Ellendale line	661 MDU	150	Wind
J320	Xcel's existing High Bridge 115 kV substation	600 XEL	55	Gas
J325	ITC Minden Station	219 ITC	4	Wind
J327	Raphson 120kV substation	219 ITC	150	Wind
J329	Pella West Substation	635 MEC	55	Hydro
J332	Point Substation	210 SIGE	83	Gas
J339	Gibson City South Substation	357 AMIL	68	Gas
J340	ITC Grassmere 345kV Substation	219 ITC	100	Wind
J343	161 kV substation on Creston-Clarinda line	635 MEC	150	Wind
J344	161 kV substation on Poweshiek-Oskaloosa line	627 ALTW	169	Wind
J348	Entergy Stuttgart Rcuskey-Almyra115 kV line	351 EES	81	Solar
J354	ITC Grassmere	219 ITC	52	Wind

## 2. Results Summary

- a. The steady state analysis performed identified thermal impacts on PJM system for J285 and J344 projects from Table 1.
- b. There were no thermal impacts on PJM system identified for the rest of the projects from Table 1.
- 3. Summer Peak analysis

- Model used PJM Z1 2017SP with the above MISO generators and previous MISO DPP generators added
- Contingencies used All PJM category B and C contingencies
- Monitored areas All PJM areas
- Analysis type Generation Deliverability
- All generators were scaled to their respective capacity portions for base case and category B events
- All generators were scaled to their respective total capabilities for category C events
- Results No overloads were identified

### 4. Light Load analysis

- Model used PJM Z1 2016LL with the above MISO generators and higher queued MISO DPP generators (wind, coal, and nuclear) added to the model
- Contingencies used All PJM category B and C contingencies
- Monitored areas All PJM areas
- Analysis type Generation Deliverability
  - All wind generators were scaled to 80% of their respective total capabilities for base case, category B, and category C events
  - The coal generator was scaled to 45% of its respective total capabilities for base case, category B, and category C events
- Results :

Table 2 – Light load results (MW impacts of MISO projects are highlighted in yellow)

Name	Name	скт	KVs	Areas	Rating	FN AC Flow	FN AC %	Cont Label	Cont Type	<mark>J285</mark>	<mark>J344</mark>
CORDO; B	NELSO; B	1	345	ComEd	1479	1711.77	115.74	'345-L0404R'	single	<mark>23.13</mark>	<mark>24.9</mark>
QUAD3-11	H471;	1	345	ComEd	1528	1717.66	112.41	'345-L15503_B-R'	single	<mark>23.14</mark>	<mark>24.86</mark>
H471 ;	NELSO; B	1	345	ComEd	1528	1716.76	112.35	'345-L15503_B-R'	single	<mark>23.14</mark>	<mark>24.86</mark>

- MISO DPP-2012-August group drives all three of these overloads.
- MISO projects that drive and contribute to these overload in DPP-2012-Aug choose not to upgrade the lines but instead wait for MISO Fargo-Sandburg-Oak Grove MVP to be built
- MISO DPP-2012-August projects that contribute to these overloads may be curtailed until the MVP is built
- MISO's J278 from DPP-2013-February chose to upgrade the Cordova Nelson 345kV line and will share cost responsibility for the upgrade with any other project that elects the same option and meets cost allocation criteria
- Proposed Upgrades:

- To alleviate overload on Cordova Nelson 345kV line: Upgrade 2 345kV CB's at TSS 155 Nelson and reconductor 0.4 miles of 2338 ACAR OVHD conductor. Cost \$8M.
- To alleviate overload on Quad 3-11 H471 345kV line: upgrade 345kV BT 1-2 CB at ESS H471. Cost \$3M.
- To alleviate overload on H471 Nelson 345kV line: upgrade 345kV BT 1-2 CB at ESS H471.
  Cost \$3M. (same as above)
- See Appendix 1 for cost allocation tables
- MVP option:
  - MISO Fargo-Sandburg-Oak Grove MVP was tested and proved to alleviate all identified overloads.
- Cost responsibility:
  - MISO's J285 and J344 will have cost allocation towards non-MVP upgrades based on MW impacts if these DPP projects chose to go in-service prior to the MVP. See Appendix 1
  - MISO's J285 and J344 will have no cost responsibility but may be curtailed if they chose to wait until the MVP is in-service
  - o All other projects from Table 1 have no cost responsibility

Appendix 1 – Cost allocations:

 Cordova – Nelson 345kV: Upgrade 2 345kV CB's at TSS 155 Nelson and reconductor 0.4 miles of 2338 ACAR OVHD conductor. Cost \$8M.

Project	Project Impact, MW		Cost, \$M	
J278	22	31.42%	2.5132	
J285	23.13	33.03%	2.6423	
J344	24.9	35.56%	2.8445	

2. Quad 3-11 – H471 - Nelson 345kV: upgrade 345kV BT 1-2 CB at ESS H471. Cost **\$3M.** 

Project	Impact, MW	Impact, %	Cost, \$M
J285	23.13	48.16%	1.4447
J344	24.9	51.84%	1.5553